

CONGRESO INTERNACIONAL DE LA CONSTRUCCIÓN CON ACERO

2019 Medellín, Centro de Eventos El Tesoro Junio 19, 20 y 21



Clarifying Frequently Misunderstood Wind and Seismic Provisions

Emily Guglielmo, SE Martin/Martin, Inc.







IONAL ISTRUCCIÓN 2019 Medellín, Centro de Eventos El Tesoro

Clarifying Frequently Misunderstood Wind and Seismic Provisions

Emily Guglielmo, SE Martin/Martin, Inc.



Frequently Misunderstood Wind Provisions



- Enclosure Classification
- Analysis Methods
- Canopies





- Rooftop Solar/ PV
- Rooftop Screenwalls





Other

Structures

6.5.15

Walls/ Signs 6.5.14

6.5.13

C&C

6.5.13.

MWFRS

6.5.13.

C&C

6.5.12.

Alternate Design 60 ft<h<90

Frequently Misunderstood Wind Provisions



- Enclosure Classification
- Analysis Methods
- Canopies



- Rooftop Solar/ PV
- Rooftop Screenwalls







Enclosed, Partially Enclosed, Open?



• <u>Enclosure Classification (26.2)</u>:

•

• Open Building: A building having each wall at least 80% open.

• A_o≥0.8A_g



 $A_{o} = A_{1} + A_{2} + A_{3}$ $A_{g} = W \times H$

7



Enclosure Classification (26.2):

.

- *Partially Enclosed Building*: A building that complies with *both* of the following conditions:
 - 1. The total area of openings in a wall > the sum of the openings in the balance of the building envelope (walls and roof) by >10%.

 $A_o \ge 1.10 A_{oi}$

2. The total area of openings in a wall exceeds 4ft² (or 1% of area of that wall), and the % of openings in the balance of the building <20%.





Enclosure Classification (26.2):

• *Enclosed Building*: A building that does not comply with the requirements for open or partially enclosed buildings.





<u>Question</u>: What constitutes an opening?

<u>Answer</u>: Doors, operable windows, air intake exhausts, operable louvers, *anything designed to be open during design winds*.



<u>Question</u>: What about windows in wind-borne debris regions?

<u>Answer</u>: Category II, III, IV buildings in wind-borne debris regions to be protected with impact resistant glazing, impact protective systems.











Enclosure Classification	(GC_{pi})	
Open Buildings	0.00	
Partially Enclosed Buildings	+0.55 -0.55	
Enclosed Buildings	+0.18 -0.18	







Enclosed, Partially Enclosed, Open?





Open Building: A building having each wall at least 80% open.





Partially Enclosed Building: A building that complies with *both* of the conditions:

- 1. The total openings in a wall exceeds the sum of the openings in the balance of the building envelope by >10%.
- 2. The total area of openings in a wall exceeds $4ft^2$ or 1% of area of that wall, *and* the percentage of openings in the balance of the building envelope $\leq 20\%$.





Partially Enclosed Building: A building that complies with *both* of the conditions:

1. The total openings in a wall exceeds the sum of the areas of openings in the balance of the building envelope by >10%.

Windward wall $200 ft \times 25 ft = 5,000 ft^2$

Balance of building





Enclosed Building: A building that does not comply with the requirements for open or partially enclosed buildings.





Partially Enclosed Building: A building that complies with *both* of the conditions:

1. The total openings in a wall exceeds the sum of the areas of openings in the balance of the building envelope by >10%.

$$200 ft \times 25 ft = 5,000 ft^2 > 1 \text{ 10} [2(75 ft \times 30 ft)] = 4,950 ft^2$$





Partially Enclosed Building: A building that complies with *both* of the conditions:

2. The total area of openings in a wall that receives positive external pressure exceeds $4ft^2$ or 1% of area of that wall, and the percentage of openings in the balance of the building envelope is less than 20%.

$$\frac{75 \, ft \times 30 \, ft \times 2}{75 \, ft \times 30 \, ft \times 2 + 200 \, ft \times 25 \, ft + 200 \, ft \times 75 \, ft} = .18 < .20$$
19





Is this enclosed, partially enclosed, *partially open*, or open building?







Enclosed



 Table 26.13-1 Main Wind Force Resisting System and Components and Cladding (All Heights): Internal Pressure Coefficient, (*GC_{pi}*), for

 Enclosed, Partially Enclosed, Partially Open, and Open Buildings (Walls and Roof)

Enclosure Classification	Criteria for Enclosure Classification	Internal Pressure	Internal Pressure Coefficient, (GC_{pi})
Enclosed buildings	A_o is less than the smaller of $0.01A_g$ or 4 sq ft (0.37 m) and $A_{oi}/A_{gi} \le 0.2$	Moderate	$+0.18 \\ -0.18$
Partially enclosed buildings	$A_o > 1.1 A_{oi}$ and $A_o >$ the lesser of $0.01 A_g$ or 4 sq ft (0.37 m) and $A_{oi}/A_{gi} \le 0.2$	High	+0.55 -0.55
Partially open buildings	A building that does not comply with Enclosed, Partially Enclosed, or Open classifications	Moderate	+0.18 -0.18
Open buildings	Each wall is at least 80% open	Negligible	0.00





Enclosed, Partially Enclosed, Open?



Other

Structures

6.5.15

Walls/ Signs 6.5.14

6.5.13

C&C

6.5.13.

MWFRS

6.5.13.

C&C

6.5.12.

Alternate Design 60 ft<h<90

Frequently Misunderstood Wind Provisions



- Enclosure Classification
- Analysis Methods
- Canopies



- Rooftop Solar/ PV
- Rooftop Screenwalls





Wind Design Methods

<u>Question:</u> What is the difference between all the Methods (analytical, simplified, directional, envelope, wind tunnel, all-heights) for calculating wind loads? *Which one should I use?*

Answer:

•Main Wind Force Resisting System (MWFRS) v. Components & Cladding (C&C)

- •Building Height < 60 ft v. Building Height > 60 ft
- •Enclosed v. Partially Enclosed v. Open
- •Flexible v. Rigid Building
- •Parapet v. Wall/ Roof
- •Building v. Sign/ Mechanical Equipment/ Rooftop Structures
- •Regular v. Irregular Building
- Roof Configuration
- Simple Diaphragm







55

60

1.19

1.22

1.59

1.62

1.84

1.87

 $p_{S30} =$ simplified design wind pressure for Exposure B, at h = 30 ft, and for I = 1.0, from Fig. 6-2











2011 NCSEA provided a survey to 9500 engineers.

→ 980 responses (~10%)

"Which provisions do you use for wind design?"

Method 1: Simplified

Method 2: All-Heights

Method 2: Low-Rise



Method 3: Wind Tunnel














Wind Design Methods

<u>Question:</u> What's the difference between Analytical (Method 2)/ Simplified (Method 1) [ASCE 7-05] and Directional/ Envelope [ASCE 7-10]?

Answer:

Analytical/ Method 2 (ASCE	Simplified/ Method 1 (ASCE			
7-05) = Directional (ASCE 7-	7-05) = Envelope (ASCE 7-10)			
10) Chapter 27	Chapter 28			
Pressure coefficients reflect	Pressure coefficients			
actual loading on each surface	represent "pseudo" loading			
as a function of wind	that envelope the desired			
direction.	moment, shear, uplift			



Wind Design Methods

Simplified/ Method 1 (ASCE 7-05) = Envelope (ASCE 7-10) Chapter 28

Pressure coefficients represent "pseudo" loading that envelope the desired moment, shear...

















2017 NCSEA Wind Survey Results

 Q21 – What is the primary method you use for determining wind loads on structures?





2017 NCSEA Wind Survey Results

 Q23 – Are you in favor of reducing the number of methods in ASCE 7 for determining wind loads on structures to (1) computational method and (1) tabular method?





Frequently Misunderstood Wind Provisions



- Enclosure Classification
- Analysis Methods
- Canopies



- Rooftop Solar/ PV
- Rooftop Screenwalls





Canopies and Awnings

External Pressure Coefficient, Cp

- One of the oldest figures of ASCE 7.
- Helps us understand wind behavior.

Wall Pressure Coefficients, Cp							
Surface	L/B	Cp	Use With				
Windward Wall	All values	0.8	qz				
Leeward Wall	0-1	-0.5					
	2	-0.3	q_h				
	≥4	-0.2					
Side Wall	All values	-0.7	$q_{\rm h}$				



Canopies and Awnings







ASCE 7-16 – Attached Canopies





ASCE 7-16 – Attached Canopies



Net Pressure Coefficient, GCp,n



Frequently Misunderstood Wind Provisions



- Enclosure Classification
- Analysis Methods
- Canopies



- Rooftop Solar/ PV
- Rooftop Screenwalls

STRUCTURAL ENGINEERS ASSOCIATION OF CALIFORNIA	 The SEAOC PV committee was formed in September
	2011.
PHOTOVOLTAIC ARRAYS ON FLAT ROOFS	 Goal: To address the lack of requirements in the
	code for PV systems.
Prepared by SEAOC Solar Photovotalo Systems Committee	<u>2012:</u> - PV1-2012: Seismic Design
Report SEAOC PV2-2012 August 2012	\rightarrow PV2-2012: Wind Design \rightarrow ASCE 7-16 incorporates and adopts PV2-2012

<u>2017:</u>

- PV2-2017: Supersedes PV2-2012
- References ASCE 7-16
- Knowledge from research since 2012
- Updated terminology, effective wind area determination, wind tunnel requirements
- In some cases, "recommended additional requirements" where the ASCE 7-16 requirements may not be adequate.

Guide DOES Cover:

- Arrays with tilted panels on flat or low-slope roof buildings (Section 4)
- Parallel-to-roof (flush-mounted) arrays on sloped roofs (Section 5)
- Ground-mounted solar arrays (Section 8)

Guide DOES NOT Cover:

- Roof-mounted systems with tilted panels that are not low-profile
- Arrays on other roof shapes (e.g. hip, gable, saw-tooth, etc.)

Solar PV

SEAOC PV2-2017 Examples

Steps:

- Roof wind zones
- Normalized wind area (A_n)
- Nominal net pressure coefficient ((GC_{rn})_{nom})
- Parapet factor (γ_P)
- Chord factor (γ_c)
- Edge factor (γ_E)
- Effective wind area (A) and design wind pressure (p)
- Design of an unattached (ballast-only) array to resist uplift
- Design of an unattached (ballast-only) array to resist sliding
- Parallel-to-roof (flush-mounted) modules

Other

Structures

6.5.15

Walls/ Signs 6.5.14

Frequently Misunderstood Wind Provisions

- Enclosure Classification
- Analysis Methods
- Canopies

- Rooftop Solar/ PV
- Rooftop Screenwalls

Poll: What wind pressure do you use to design a rooftop screenwall?

a) Rooftop Structures and Equipment?

29.5.1 ROOFTOP STRUCTURES AND EQUIPMENT FOR BUILDINGS WITH $h \le 60$ ft (18.3 m)

The lateral force F_h on rooftop structures and equipment located on buildings with a mean roof height $h \leq 60$ ft (18.3 m) shall be determined from Eq. 29.5-2.

$$F_h = q_h(GC_r)A_f$$
 (lb) (N) (29.5-2)

a) Rooftop Structures and Equipment?

b) Solid Freestanding Sign(with adjustment)?

2019 Medellín, Centro de Eventos El Tesoro

Poll: What wind pressure do you use to design a rooftop screenwall?

a) Rooftop Structures and Equipment?

b) Solid Freestanding Sign(with adjustment)?

c) Parapet Pressures?

ASCE 7-16: New Commentary

C29.5.1: Mechanical equipment screens commonly are used to conceal plumbing, electrical or mechanical equipment from view and are defined as rooftop structures.. located away from the edge of the building roof such that they are not considered parapets... little research is available to provide guidance for determining wind loads on screen walls and equipment behind screens. Accordingly, rooftop screens.. should be designed for the full wind load determined in accordance with Section 29.5.1. Where substantiating data have been obtained using the Wind Tunnel Procedure, design professionals may consider wind load reductions in the design of rooftop screens.

2019 Medellín, Centro de Eventos El Tesoro Junio 19, 20 y 21

29.5.1 ROOFTOP STRUCTURES AND EQUIPMENT FOR BUILDINGS WITH $h \le 60$ ft (18.3 m)

The lateral force F_h on rooftop structures and equipment located on buildings with a mean roof height $h \leq 60$ ft (18.3 m) shall be determined from Eq. 29.5-2.

 $F_h = q_h(GC_r)A_f$ (lb) (N) (29.5-2)

What about equipment behind rooftop screens? Appropriate to consider shielding?

Phase 1 IBHS Research Center & ASHRAE Testing: Preliminary Findings

- Equipment height above top of screen increases wind loads.
- Fully enclosed configurations lower wind loads.
- Partially enclosed screen configurations do not provide significant wind load reduction.
- Screen type does not significantly change wind loads.

Phase 2 IBHS Research Center & ASHRAE Testing

• Evaluate wind loads on screenwalls themselves.

1976 EDITION

2019 Medellín, Centro de Eventos El Tesoro Junio 19, 20 y 21

23-F, 23-G, 23-H

TABLE NO. 23-F — WIND PRESSURES FOR VARIOUS HEIGHT ZONES ABOVE GROUND'

NEIGHT ZONES	WIND-PRESSURE-MAP AREAS (pounds per square foot)						
(in feet)	20 25 30 3		35	35 40		50	
Less than 30	15	20	25	25	30	35	40
30 to 49	20	25	30	35	40	45	50
50 to 99	25	30	40	45	50	55	60
100 to 499	30	40	45	55	60	70	75
500 to 1199	35	45	55	60	70	80	90
1200 and over	40	50	60	70	80	90	100

Summary:

- 1) Consider intent of the code before applying provisions.
- 2) Does maintaining all Analysis Methods help or hurt?
- 3) There are attempts to simplify, address common situations.

2019 Medellín, Centro de Eventos El Tesoro

Clarifying Frequently Misunderstood Wind and Seismic Provisions

Emily Guglielmo, SE Martin/Martin, Inc.

Fundamentals of Earthquake Engineering, Newmark and Rosenblueth (1971):

"In dealing with earthquakes, we must contend with appreciable probabilities that *failure* will occur... Otherwise, all the *wealth* of the world would prove insufficient.. the most modest structures would be *fortresses*. We must also face *uncertainty* on a large scale, for it is our task to design engineering systems – about whose pertinent properties we know little to resist future earthquakes— whose characteristics we **know even less**...."

".. Earthquake engineering is a *cartoon*. . . Earthquakes systematically bring out the *mistakes* made in design and construction."

Frequently Misunderstood Seismic Provisions

- R, C_d, Ω_o
- Redundancy, ρ
- Bearing Wall or Building Frame?
- Analysis Methods



Frequently Misunderstood Seismic Provisions

- R, C_d, Ω_o
- Redundancy, p
- Bearing Wall or Building Frame?
- Analysis Methods





Elastic vs. Inelastic Response



- The green line is the actual force vs. displacement of the structure.
- The blue line is the code force per IBC/ ASCE 7.
- Illustrates the significance of design parameters contained in ASCE 7.
 - Response modification coefficient, *R*
 - Deflection amplification factor, C_d
 - System overstrength factor, Ω_o .





2009 NEHRP Recommended Seismic Provisions

Response Modification Coefficient, R



2019 Medellín, Centro de Eventos El Tesoro Junio 19, 20 y 21



In ASCE 7, seismic design forces are calculated by dividing the force from a linear response when subjected to the design ground motion by the response modification coefficient, *R*.





R = 1

- Like wind (elastic)
- Used by Nuclear and Military Essential
- ASCE 7-16 Proposal...
 - No ductile detailing required?
 - Permitted in all SDCs?



This proposal is an admission that it is too hard to design for seismic properly, so we will let lazy, uneducated engineers continue to be lazy and uneducated and design things stupidly. JUST VOTE

NO!

If you accept the concept that the R factor reduces elastic seismic design forces because of system ductility, then by definition using an R of 1.0 should require no ductile detailing. Logically, this concept should apply to all buildings in all regions. Good chapter headed in the right direction.

Designers don't need another design approach. The profession wants ASCE 7 to simplify what is already in the standard.

It is impossible for me to express all of my concerns with regard to this proposal adequately. It will introduce into seismic design category D, E and F territory the design of building structures without the appropriate detailing. This is dangerous.

Deflection amplification factor, C_d



2019 Medellín, Centro de Eventos El Tesoro Junio 19, 20 y 21



In ASCE 7, the elastic deformations (Δ_s) calculated under reduced forces are multiplied by C_d to estimate the actual inelastic deflections.



System Overstrength factor, Ω_o





The Ω_o coefficient approximates the inherent overstrength and can be broken down into several components:

$$\Omega_{o} = \Omega_{D}\Omega_{M}\Omega_{S}$$

 Ω_{o}



2019 Medellín, Centro de Eventos El Tesoro Junio 19, 20 y 21

DESIGN OVERSTRENGTH

- Ω_D is the overstrength provided by the design engineer and/ or code.
- EXAMPLES:
- Load and resistance factors.
- Design controlled by stiffness.
- Architectural requirements.



 Ω_{o}



2019 Medellín, Centro de Eventos El Tesoro Junio 19, 20 y 21

MATERIAL OVERSTRENGTH

•Ω_M represents material overstrength. <u>EXAMPLES</u>:

Reinforced masonry, concrete, and steel provisions have historically used a factor of ~1.25 to account for the ratio of mean to specified strengths.

•A survey of WF steel: Ratios = 1.37 and 1.15 for A36 and A572 Gr. 50.





SYSTEM OVERSTRENGTH

- Ω_s represents the system overstrength.
- EXAMPLES:
- Redundancy.
- The degree to which non-LFRS elements provide resistance after LFRS has yielded.





Structural System	Design Overstrength	Material Overstrength	System Overstrength	$Ω_o = Ω_D Ω_M Ω_S$	ASCE 7
	Ω _D	Ω _M	Ω _s		Ω₀
Special Moment Frames (Concrete and Steel)	1.5-2.5	1.2-1.6	1.0-1.5	2.0-3.5	3.0
Intermediate Moment Frames (Concrete and Steel)	1.0-2.0	1.2-1.6	1.0-2.0	2.0-3.5	3.0
Ordinary Moment Frames (Concrete and Steel)	1.0-1.5	1.2-1.6	1.5-2.5	2.0-3.5	3.0
Braced Frames	1.5-2.0	1.2-1.6	1.0-1.5	1.5-2.0	2.0
Reinforced Bearing Wall	1.0-1.5	1.2-1.6	1.0-1.5	1.5-2.5	2.5
Unreinforced Bearing Wall	1.0-2.0	0.8-2.0	1.0-2.0	2.0-3.0	2.5
Dual System (Bracing and Frame)	1.1-1.75	1.2-1.6	1.0-1.5	1.5-2.5	2.5



Table 12.2-1 (0	Continued)
-----------------	------------

		ASCE 7 Section				Structural System Limitations Including Structural Height, h_n (in Limits ^e					
		Detailing	Modification	0	Deflection	Seismic Design Category					
	Seismic Force-Resisting System	Are Specified	R ^e	Factor, Ω_0^8	Factor, C _d ^b	В	С	\mathbf{D}^d	\mathbf{E}^d	F	
7.	. Steel and concrete composite plate shear walls	14.3	71/2	21/2	6	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	
8	. Steel and concrete composite special shear walls	14.3	7	21/2	6	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	
9	. Steel and concrete composite ordinary shear walls	14.3	6	21/2	5	NL	NL	NP	NP	NP	
10	. Special reinforced masonry shear walls	14.4	51/2	3	5	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	
11.	Intermediate reinforced masonry shear walls	14.4	4	3	31/2	NL	NL	NP	NP	NP	

^aResponse modification coefficient, *R*, for use throughout the standard. Note *R* reduces forces to a strength level, not an allowable stress level. ^bDeflection amplification factor, *C*_d for use in Sections 12.8.6, 12.8.7, and 12.9.2.

'NL = Not Limited and NP = Not Permitted. For metric units use 30.5 m for 100 ft and use 48.8 m for 160 ft.

^dSee Section 12.2.5.4 for a description of seismic force-resisting systems limited to buildings with a structural height, h_n, of 240 ft (73.2 m) or less.

'See Section 12.2.5.4 for seismic force-resisting systems limited to buildings with a structural height, have of 160 ft (48.8 m) or less.

Ordinary moment frame is permitted to be used in lieu of intermediate moment frame for Seismic Design Categories B or C.

Where the tabulated value of the overstrength factor, Ω_0 is greater than or equal to 2½, Ω_c is permitted to be reduced by subtracting the value of 1/2 for structures with flexible diaphragms.

¹San Section 12.2.5.7 for limitations in structures assigned to Salemic Darian Catagorias D.E. or E.

Where the tabulated value of the overstrength factor, Ω_0 , is greater than or equal to 2½, Ω_0 is permitted to be reduced by subtracting the value of 1/2 for structures with flexible diaphragms.



ASCE 7 Section 12.4.3.2

Basic Combinations for Strength Design with Overstrength Factor (see Sections 2.3.2 and 2.2 for notation).

5.
$$(1.2 + 0.2S_{DS})D + \Omega_o Q_E + L + 0.2S$$

7. $(0.9 - 0.2S_{DS})D + \Omega_o Q_E + 1.6H$



Load Combinations with Overstrength Factor

Question:

When do I need to design with load combinations with overstrength factors, $\Omega_{o}?$

Answer: IBC 1605.1 "Buildings shall be designed to resist the load combinations with overstrength factor specified in Section 12.4.3.2 of ASCE 7 where required by Section 12.2.5.2, 12.3.3.3, or 12.10.2.1..."



12.2.5.2: Cantilever Column Systems

SDC B-F

Foundations and other elements used to provide overturning resistance at the base of cantilever column elements shall have the strength to resist the load combinations with overstrength factors of Section 12.4.3.2.





12.3.3.3: Elements Supporting Discontinuous Walls or Frames SDC B-F

Columns, beams, trusses, or slabs supporting discontinuous walls or frames shall have the strength to resist the maximum axial force that can develop in accordance with the load combinations with overstrength factors of Section 12.4.3.2.





SDC C-F

Collector elements, splices, and their connections to resisting elements shall resist the load combinations of Section 12.4.3.2.







- 12.2.5.2 Cantilever Columns SDC B,C,D,E,F
- 12.10.2.1 Collectors (Light Frame, Wood excepted) SDC C, D, E, F
- 12.3.3.3 Columns, Beams Supporting Discontinuous Walls or Frames SDC B,C,D,E,F
- 12.13.6.5 Pile Anchorage SDC D,E,F
- Material Specifications: SDC B,C,D,E,F
 - AISC where R>3
 - ACI Chapter 21, Appendix D, Etc.





Frequently Misunderstood Seismic Provisions

• R, C_d, Ω_o

- Redundancy, ρ
- Bearing Wall or Building Frame?
- Analysis Methods









- Damage from the 1994 Northridge earthquake was concentrated in buildings with low redundancy.
- The code was modified to increase redundancy for structures in Seismic Design Categories D, E and F.
- For structures with low inherent redundancy, the required design forces are (arbitrarily?) amplified to increase strength and resistance to damage.

REDUNDANCY FACTOR, p



2019 Medellín, Centro de Eventos El Tesoro Junio 19, 20 y 21

ASCE 7 SECTION

12.3.4.1: Conditions Where the Value of ρ is 1.0.

• 10 conditions

12.3.4.2: Redundancy Factor, ρ, for SDC D, E, F

• Either ρ = 1.0 or 1.3

REDUNDANCY FACTOR, $\rho=1.0$



2019 Medellín, Centro de Eventos El Tesoro Junio 19, 20 y 21

- 1. Structures assigned to Seismic Design Category B or C.
- 2. Drift calculation and P-delta effects.
- 3. Design of nonstructural components (Chapter 13).



Examples: Mechanical/ electrical components, ceilings, cabinets.

4. Design of non-building structures that are *not* similar to buildings (Chapter 15).

Examples: Tanks, amusement structures/ monuments, signs and billboards, cooling towers.



REDUNDANCY FACTOR, $\rho=1.0$

CONGRESO INTERNACIONAL DE LA CONSTRUCCIÓN CON ACERO

019 Medellín, Centro de Eventos El Tesoro Junio 19, 20 y 21

5. Design of collector elements, splices and their connections for which the load combinations with overstrength factor of 12.4.3.2 are used.

6. Design of members or connections where the load combinations with overstrength of 12.4.3.2 are required for design.

Basic Combinations for Strength Design with Overstrength Factor (see Sections 2.3.2 and 2.2 for notation).

5. $(1.2 + 0.2S_{DS})D + \Omega_o Q_E + L + 0.2S$

7. $(0.9 - 0.2S_{DS})D + \Omega_o Q_E + 1.6H$

7. Diaphragm loads determined using Eq. 12.10-1.

$$F_{px} = \frac{\sum_{i=x}^{n} F_i}{\sum_{i=x}^{n} w_i} w_{px}$$
(12.10-1)

8. Structures with damping systems designed in accordance with Chapter 18.

9. Out-of-plane wall anchorage (including connections).



 $F_p = 0.4 S_{DS} k_a I_e W_p \tag{12.11-1}$

ASCE 7-10 12.3.4.2



2019 Medellín, Centro de Eventos El Tesoro Junio 19, 20 y 21

ρ = 1.0 or 1.3 ρ = 1.3 unless ONE of the following conditions is met:

Condition 1: Can an individual element be removed from the lateral force resisting system without:

- Causing the remaining structure to suffer a reduction in story strength
- > 33%, or
- Creating an extreme torsional irregularity?

Condition 1: Requires Calculations!



2019 Medellín, Centro de Eventos El Tesoro Junio 19, 20 y 21

		TABLE 12.3-3 REQU MORE TH	IIREMENTS FOR EACH STORY RESISTING IAN 35% OF THE BASE SHEAR
		Lateral Force-Resisting Element	Requirement
		Braced Frames	Removal of an individual brace, or connection thereto, would not result in more than a 33% reduction in story strength, nor does the resulting system have an extreme torsional irregularity (horizontal structural irregularity Type 1b).
		Moment Frames	Loss of moment resistance at the beam-to-column connections at both ends of a single beam would not result in more than a 33% reduction in story strength, nor does the resulting system have an extreme torsional irregularity (horizontal structural irregularity Type 1b).
		Shear Walls or Wall Pier with a height-to- length ratio of greater than 1.0	Removal of a shear wall or wall pier with a height-to-length ratio greater than 1.0 within any story, or collector connections thereto, would not result in more than a 33% reduction in story strength, nor does the resulting system have an extreme torsional irregularity (horizontal structural irregularity Type 1b).
		Cantilever Columns	Loss of moment resistance at the base connections of any single cantilever column would not result in more than a 33% reduction in story strength, nor does the resulting system have an extreme torsional irregularity (horizontal structural irregularity Type 1b).
		Other	No requirements
ŝ	STATISTICS IN THE STATISTICS IN THE STATISTICS		

ASCE 7-10 12.3.4.2



2019 Medellín, Centro de Eventos El Tesoro Junio 19, 20 y 21

$\rho = 1.0 \text{ or } 1.3$ $\rho = 1.3 \text{ unless ONE of the following conditions is met:}$

Condition 2: If a structure is *regular in plan* and there are at least *2 bays* of seismic force resisting *perimeter framing* on *each side* of the structure in *each orthogonal direction* at each *story resisting* > 35% of the base shear.



ASCE 7-10 12.3.4.2



2019 Medellín, Centro de Eventos El Tesoro Junio 19, 20 y 21

ρ = 1.0 or 1.3 ρ = 1.3 unless ONE of the following conditions is met:

Condition 2: If a structure is *regular in plan* and there are at least *2 bays* of seismic force resisting *perimeter framing* on *each side* of the structure in *each orthogonal direction* at each *story resisting* > 35% of the base shear.





Frequently Misunderstood Seismic Provisions

• R, C_d, Ω_o

- Redundancy, ρ
- Bearing Wall or Building Frame?
- Analysis Methods





Bearing Wall v. Building Frame



Table 12.2-1 Design	1 Coefficients a	nd Factors f	or Seismic Fo	orce-Resisting	Syste	ems			
	ASCE 7 Section	Paragona			L Sti	Struc imitat	tural S ions Ir al Heig Limits	System ncludir ght, h _n	ng (ft)
	Detailing	Modification		Deflection	Sei	ismic]	Design	a Categ	gory
Seismic Force-Resisting System	Are Specified	Coefficient, R ^a	Factor, Ω_0^{g}	Factor, C ^b	в	С	\mathbf{D}^d	\mathbf{E}^d	\mathbf{F}'
A. BEARING WALL SYSTEMS									
 Special reinforced concrete shear walls^{LW} 	14.2	5	21/2	5	NL	NL	160	160	100
 Ordinary reinforced concrete shear walls^l 	14.2	4	21/2	4	NL	NL	NP	NP	NP
3 Detailed plain concrete shear walls!	14.2	2	214	2	NI	NP	NP	NP	NP

B. BUILDING FRAME SYSTEMS

Table 12.2-1 (Continued)									
	ASCE 7 Section Where Detailing Requirements	Response Modification Coefficient,	Overstrength	Deflection Amplification	L Str Sei	Struct imitat ructura smic I	tural S ions Ir d Heig Limits Design	ystem neludir ht, h _s	ng (ft) gory
Seismic Force-Resisting System	Are Specified	R"	Factor, Ω_0^*	Factor, C _d ^b	в	С	\mathbf{D}^d	E^d	F^{e}
4. Special reinforced concrete shear walls ^{2,m}	14.2	6	21/2	5	NL	NL	160	160	100
5. Ordinary reinforced concrete shear walls ¹	14.2	5	21/2	41/2	NL	NL	NP	NP	NP
6. Detailed plain concrete shear walls'	14.2 and	2	21/2	2	NL	NP	NP	NP	NP

Bearing Wall v. Building Frame



WALL SYSTEM, BEARING: A structural system with bearing walls providing support for all or major portions of the vertical loads. Shear walls or braced frames provide seismic force resistance.



BUILDING FRAME SYSTEM: A structural system with an essentially complete space frame providing support for vertical loads. Seismic force resistance is provided by shear walls or braced frames.



Bearing Wall v. Building Frame



Question: If some of the gravity loads are resisted by shear walls, is it possible to classify the system as a building frame system?



Bearing Wall v. Building

Frame



SEAOC:

Assume all portions of the walls not reinforced as columns or beams are removed, but the self-weight of the wall is still present.



Bearing Wall v. Building



Frame

NEHRP:

"A building frame system is when gravity loads are carried *primarily* by a frame supported on columns rather than by bearing walls. Some *minor portions* of the gravity load may be carried on bearing walls, but the amount.. should not represent more than *a few percent* of the building area."





Frequently Misunderstood Seismic Provisions

• R, C_d, Ω_o

- Redundancy, p
- Bearing Wall or Building Frame?
- Analysis Methods







Seismic Design Category	Structural Characteristics	Equivalent Lateral Force Analysis, Section 12.8"	Modal Response Spectrum Analysis, Section 12.9 ^a	Seismic Response History Procedures, Chapter 16 ^a
B, C	All structures	Р	Р	Р
D, E, F	Risk Category I or II buildings not exceeding 2 stories above the base	Р	Р	Р
	Structures of light frame construction	Р	Р	Р
	Structures with no structural irregularities and not exceeding 160 ft in structural height	Р	Р	Р
	Structures exceeding 160 ft in structural height with no structural irregularities and with $T < 3.5T_s$	Р	Р	Р
	Structures not exceeding 160 ft in structural height and having only horizontal irregularities of Type 2, 3, 4, or 5 in Table 12.3-1 or vertical irregularities of Type 4, 5a, or 5b in Table 12.3-2	Р	Р	Р
	All other structures	NP	Р	Р

Table 12.6-1 Permitted Analytical Procedures

^aP: Permitted; NP: Not Permitted; $T_s = S_{D1}/S_{DS}$.

Permitted Analysis Procedures




Height Threshold for Dynamic Analysis







<u>Question</u>: Can I use static (equivalent lateral force procedure) analysis for the following building:

- •SDC F
- •Type 1a (torsional) horizontal irregularities
- •5-story hotel ballroom (Occupancy III)
- •Load bearing metal studs







2019 Medellín, Centro de Eventos El Tesoro Junio 19, 20 y 21

Permitted Analysis Procedures

<u>Question</u>: Can I use static (equivalent lateral force procedure) analysis for the following building:

- •SDC E
- •Type 2 (reentrant corner) vertical irregularities
- •2-story office building (Occupancy II)
- •Concrete shear walls with steel floor/ roof framing







2019 Medellín, Centro de Eventos El Tesoro Junio 19, 20 y 21

Permitted Analysis Procedures

<u>Question:</u> Can I use static (equivalent lateral force procedure) analysis for the following building:

- •SDC D
- •175 ft. tall
- •No irregularities
- •T<3.5T_s







ELF: Equivalent Lateral Force (Simplified Design Procedure)	12.8 (12.14)
MRS: Modal Response Spectrum	12.9
LTH: Linear Time History	16.1
NTH: Nonlinear Dynamic Time History	16.2



ANALYSIS METHOD



2019 Medellín, Centro de Eventos El Tesoro Junio 19, 20 y 21



Summary:

- 1) Understand the methodology built into code-values of R,
 - C_d, Ω_{o.}
- 2) The code attempts to steer engineers into redundant, ductile designs with regular load path.

Emily Guglielmo eguglielmo@martinmartin.com 415-814-0030